### MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 246 OF 2016 DISTRICT: - AURANGABAD

- Shri Raju s/o Manohar Dabhade, Age : 36 years, Occu. Sweeper, R/o Police Colony, House No. 109, Mill Cornor, Aurangabad, Tq. & Dist. Aurangabad.
- Smt. Indira w/o Ashok Maind, Age : 40 years, Occu. Sweeper, R/o Brahman Galli, Begumpura, Tq. & Dist. Aurangabad.
- Shri Sukhdev s/o Sudam Jadhav, Age : 38 years, Occu. Sweeper, R/o Kohinoor Colony, Near C.P. Office, Aurangabad, Tq. & Dist. Aurangabad.
- Shri Kailas s/o Govindrao Ghumre, Age : 38 years, Occu. Sweeper, R/o Kabra Nagar, House No. 374, Garkheda Parisar, Aurangabad, Tq. & Dist. Aurangabad.
- Shri Rubina w/o Chand Pasha Sayyad, Age : 38 years, Occu. Sweeper, R/o Kotwalpura, Kohinoor Colony, Tq. & Dist. Aurangabad. .. APPL

**APPLICANTS.** 

## VERSUS

 The State of Maharashtra Through its Secretary for Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32 (Copy to be served on C.P.O. M.A.T., Bench at Aurangabad)

DA1	`E	:	5 <sup>TH</sup> JULY, 2017.
COF	RAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
		:	Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
APP	EARANCE	:	Shri P.V. Suryawanshi – learned Advocate for the applicants.
3)	The Commissioner of Police, Police Commissionerate, Aurangabad, Tq. & Dist. Aurangabad RESPONDENTS		
2)		Genera	eneral of Police, al Office, Mumbai-39,

2

# ORAL ORDER

 Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi – learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicants have approached this Tribunal for issuing directions to the respondents to grant benefits regarding wages in view of the Notifications dated 15.02.2003 & 28.09.2010 and the arrears of wages due and payable to them. It is their contention that they are serving with the respondents as part time sweepers since many years, but the respondents have not paid wages to them in view of the above referred notifications. He has submitted that the applicants had made representations dated 01.09.2015 & 07.08.2015 (page Nos. 33 & 34 of the p.b. of O.A.) with the respondents, but the respondents Therefore, they approached this have not decided it. Tribunal. Learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that if the directions are issued to the respondents to decide the representations filed by the applicant pending before the respondents, then the purpose of filing the present Original Application will be served.

3

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that in view of the directions given by this Tribunal in other Original Applications, the respondents are paying wages to the applicants in view of the Notification dated since the year 2015 onwards. He has submitted that since representations dated 01.09.2015 & 07.08.2015 filed by the applicants are pending, he prayed to reject the present Original Application.

4

4. On going through the documents on record, it reveals that the representations dated 01.09.2015 & 07.08.2015 filed by the applicants with the respondents praying to pay the arrears of wages, in view of the aforesaid Notifications dated 15.02.2003 & 28.09.2010 are pending with the respondents. Therefore, it is justice and proper to direct the respondents to decide the representations at the earliest. Hence, the respondents are hereby directed to decide the representations filed by the applicants within a period of 2 moths from the date of this order.

5. With the above observations and directions, the present Original Application stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

#### MEMBER (J)

O.A.NO.246-2016(SB)-HDD-2017